# TO-DAY AND TO-MORROW

A List of the Contents of this Series will be found at the end of this volume

PRESENTED BY CHANDABUAL A. MUCHH TO.

BY

BERTRAND RUSSELL

Eighth Impression

LONDON

KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRUBNER & CO, LTD.

NEW YORL E P DUTTON & CO
1933

Third Impression : January, 1927 Fourth Impression: January, 1928

Fifth Impression : November, 1930 Sixth Impression : December, 1931

Seventh Impression: January, 1932 Eighth Impression : January, 1933

> PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY MACKAYS LIMITED, CHATRAM

First Edition : March, 1925

Second Impression : September, 1925

#### PREFACE

In this little book, I have tried to say what I think of man's place in the universe, and of his possibilities in the way of achieving the good life. In Icarus I expressed my feirs, in the following pages I have expressed my hopes. The inconsistency is only apparent. Except in astronomy, mankind have not achieved the art of predicting the future in human affairs, we can see that there are forces making for happiness and forces making for misery. We do not know which will prevail, but to act wisely we must be aware of both

January 1st, 1925

ΒR

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAP.					,	AGE
1	NATURE	AND	MAN	•		9

II THE GOOD LIFE . . . 27

III MORAL RULES . . . 43

IV SALVATION, INDIVIDUAL AND SOCIAL . . . .

V SCIENCE AND HAPPINESS . 73

63

## CHAPTER I NATURE AND MAN

Man is a part of Nature, anot something contrasted with Nature His thoughts and his bodily movements follow the same laws that describe the motions of stars and atoms physical world is large compared with Man-larger than it was thought to be in Dante's time, but not so large as it scemed a hundred vears ago upward and downward, both in the large and in the small, science seems to be reaching limits. It is thought that the universe is of finite extent in space, and that light could travel round it in a few hundred millions of years. It is thought that matter consists of electrons and

can never be reduced to physics, but their arguments are not very convincing and it seems prudent to suppose that they are mistaken. What we call our "thoughts" seem to depend upon the organization of tracks in the brain in the same sort of way in which journeys depend upon roads and railways. The energy used in thinking seems to have a chemical origin; for instance, a deficiency of iodine will turn a clever man into an idiot. Mental phenomena seem to be bound up with material structure. If this be so, we cannot suppose that a solitary electron or proton can "think"; we might as well expect a solitary individual to play a football match. We also cannot suppose that an individual's thinking survives bodily death, since that destroys the organization of the brain, and dissipates the energy which utilized the brain-tracks.

## NATURE AND MAN

God and immortality, the central dogmas of the Christian religion, find no support in science. It cannot be said that either doctrine is essential to religion, since neither is found in Buddhism. (With regard to immortality, this statement in an unqualified form might be misleading, but it is correct in the last analysis.) But we in the West have come to think of them as the irreducible minimum of theology. No doubt people will continue to entertain these beliefs, because they are pleasant, just as it is pleasant to think ourselves virtuous and our enemies wicked. But for my part I cannot see any ground for either. I do not pretend to be able to prove that there is no God. I equally cannot prove that Satan is a fiction. The Christian God may exist; so may the Gods of Olympus, or of ancient Egypt, or of Babylon. But no one of these

hypotheses is more probable than any other: they lie outside the region of even probable knowledge, and therefore there is no reason to consider any of them. I shall not enlarge upon this question, as I have dealt with it elsewhere.

The question of personal\_immortality stands on a somewhat different footing. Here evidence either way is possible. Persons are part of the everyday world with which science is concerned, and the conditions which determine their existence are discoverable. A drop of water is not immortal; it can be resolved into oxygen and hydrogen. If, therefore, a drop of water were to maintain that it had a quality of aqueousness which would survive its dissolution we should be inclined to be sceptical. In like manner we know that the brain is not immortal, and

<sup>.</sup> See my Philosophy of Leibniz, Chapter XV.

#### NATURE AND MAN

that the organized energy of a living body becomes, as it were, demobilized at death, and therefore not available for collective action. All the evidence goes to show that what we regard as our mental life is bound up with brain structure and organized bodily energy. Therefore it is rational to suppose that mental life cases when bodily life ecases. The argument is only one of probability, but it is as strong as those upon which most scientific conclusions are based.

There are various grounds upon which this conclusion might be attacked Psychical research professes to have actual scientific evidence of survival, and undoubtedly its procedure is, in principle, scientifically correct. Evidence of this sort-might be so overwhelming that no one with a scientific temper could reject it. The weight to be attached to the evidence, however,

must depend upon the antecedent probability of the hypothesis of survival. There are always different ways of accounting for any set of phenomena, and of these we should prefer the one which is antecedentally least improbable. Those who already think it likely that we survive death will be ready to view this theory as the best explanation of psychical phenomena. Those who, on other grounds, regard this theory as unplausible will seek for other explanations. For my part, I consider the evidence so far adduced by psychical research in favour of survival much weaker than the physiological evidence on the other side. But I fully admit that it might at any moment become stronger, and in that case it would be unscientific to disbelieve in survival.

Survival of bodily death is, however, a different matter from immortality:

# NATURE AND MAN

it may only mean a postponement of psychical death It is immortality that men desire to believe in Believers in immortality will object to physiological arguments, such as I have been using, on the ground that soul and body are ! totally disparate, and that the soul is something quite other than its empirical manifestations through our bodily organs I believe this to be a metaphysical superstition. Mind and matter alike are for certain purposes convenient terms, but are not ultimate realities Electrons and protons, like the soul, are logical fictions each is really a history, a series of events, not a single persistent entity. In the case of the soul, this is obvious from the facts of growth Whoever considers conception, gestation, and infancy cannot seriously believe that the soul is an indivisible something, perfect and complete throughout this process It (

[17]

is evident that it grows like the body, and that it derives both from the spermatozoon and from the ovum, so that it cannot be indivisible. This is not materialism: it is merely the recognition that everything interesting is a matter of organization, not of primal substance.

Metaphysicians have advanced innumerable arguments to prove that the soul must be immortal. There is one simple test by which all these arguments can be demolished. They all P prove equally that the soul must pervade all space. But as we are not so anxious to be fat as to live long, none of the metaphysicians in question have ever noticed this application of their reasonings. This is an instance of the amazing power of desire in blinding even very able men to fallacies which would otherwise be obvious at once. If we were not afraid of death, I do not

### NATURB AND MAN

believe that the idea of immortality would ever have arisen.

Fear is the basis of religious dogma, as of so much else in human life Fear of human beings, individually or collectively, dominates much of our social life, but it is fear of nature that gives rise to religion. The antithesis of mind and matter is, as we have seen, more or less illusory, but there is another antithesis which is more important-that, namely, between things that can be affected by our desires and things that cannot be so affected. The line between the two is neither sharp nor immutable-as science advances, more and more things i are brought under human control. Nevertheless there remain definitely on the other side Among these are all the large facts of our world, the sort of facts that are dealt with by astronomy. It is only facts on or near

[19]

the surface of the earth that we can, to some extent, mould to suit our desires. And even on the surface of the earth our powers are very limited. Above all, we cannot prevent death, although we can often delay it.

Religion is an attempt to overcome this antithesis. If the world is con-! trolled by God, and God can be moved by prayer, we acquire a share in omnipotence. In former days, miracles happened in answer to prayer; they still do in the Catholic Church, but Protestants have lost this power. However, it is possible to dispense with miracles, since Providence has decreed that the operation of natural laws shall produce the best possible results. Thus belief in God still serves to humanize the world of nature, and to make men feel that physical forces are really their allies. In like manner immortality removes the terror from death. People

### NATURE AND MAN

who believe that when they die they will inheriteternal bliss may be expected it o view death without horror, though, fortunately for medical men, this does not invariably happen. It does, however, soothe men's fears somewhat, even when it cannot allay them wholly.

Religion, since it has its source in terror, has dignified certain kinds of fear, and made people think them not disgraceful. In this it has done mankind a great disservice : all fear is bad, ! and ought to be overcome, not by fairy tales, but by courage and rational reflection. I believe that when I die Tshall rot, and nothing of my ego will survive (I am not young, and I love life. But I should scorn to shiver with terror at the thought of annihilation.) Happiness is none the less true happiness because it must come to an end, nor do thought and love lose their value because they are not everlasting.

Many a man has borne himself proudly on the scaffold; surely the same pride should teach us to think truly about man's place in the world. Even if the open windows of science at first make us shiver after the cosy indoor warmth of traditional humanizing myths, in the end the fresh air brings vigour, and the great spaces have a splendour of their own.

The philosophy of gature is one thing, the philosophy of value is quite another. Nothing but harm can come of confusing them. What we think good, what we should like, has no bearing whatever upon what is, which is the question for the philosophy of nature. On the other hand, we cannot be forbidden to value this or that on the ground that the non-human world does not value it, nor can we be compelled to admire anything because it is a "law of nature". Undoubtedly

# NATURE AND MAN

we are part of nature, which has produced our desires, our hopes and fears, in accordance with laws which the physicist is beginning to discover In this sense we are part of nature, in the philosophy of nature, we are subordinated to nature, the outcome of natural laws, and their victims in the long run

The philosophy of nature must not be unduly terrestrial for it, the earth is merely one of the smaller planets of one of the smaller stars of the Milky Way. It would be ridiculous to warp the philosophy of nature in order to bring out results that are pleasing to the tiny parasites of this insignificant planet. Vitalism as a philosophy, and evolutionism, show, in this respect, a lack of sense of proportion and logical relevance. They regard the facts of life, which are personally interesting to us, as having a cosmic significance, not

a significance confined to the earth's surface. Optimism and pessimism, as cosmic philosophies, show the same naive humanism; the great world, so far as we know it from the philosophy of nature, is neither good nor bad, and is not concerned to make us either happy or unfiappy. All such philosophies spring from self-importance, and are best corrected by a little astronomy.

But in the philosophy of value the part of what we can imagine; everything, real or imagined, can be appraised by us, and there is no outside standard to show that our valuation is wrong. We are ourselves the ultimate and irrefutable arbiters of value, and in the world of value Nature is only a part. Thus in this world we are greater than Nature. In the world of values, Nature in itself is neutral, neither good nor

# NATURE AND MAN

bad, deserving of neither admiration nor censure. It is we who create value and our desires which confer value. In this realm we are kings, and we debase our kingship if we bow down to Nature. It is for us to determine the good life, not for Nature—not even for Nature personified as God.

#### CHAPTER II

#### THE GOOD LIFE

There have been at different times and among different people many varying conceptions of the good life. To some extent the differences were amenable to argument; this was when men differed as to the means to achieve a given end. Some think that prison is a good way of preventing crime; others hold that education would be better A difference of this sort can be decided by sufficient evidence. But some differences cannot be tested in this way. Tolstoy condemned all war: others have held the life of a soldier doing battle for the right to be very noble. Here there

was probably involved a real difference as to ends. Those who praise the soldier usually consider the punishment of sinnersa good thing in itself; Tolstoy did not think so. On such a matter no argument is possible. I cannot, therefore, prove that my view of the good life is right; I can only state my view, and hope that as many as possible will agree. My view is this:

The good life is one inspired by love and guided by knowledge.

Knowledge and love are both indefinitely extensible; therefore, however good a life may be, a better life
can be imagined. Neither love without
love can produce a good life. In the
Middle Ages, when pestilence appeared
in a country, holy men advised the population to assemble in churches and pray
for deliverance; the result was that the
infection spread with extraordinary

# THE GOOD LIFE

rapidity among the crowded masses of supplicants. This was an example of love without knowledge. The late War afforded an example of knowledge without love. In each case, the result was death on a large scale.

Although both love and knowledge are necessary, love is in a sense more fundamental, since it will lead intelligent people to seek knowledge, in order to find out how to benefit those whom they love. But if people are not intelligent, they will be content to believe what they have been told, and may do harm in spite of the most genuine benevolence. Medicine affords, perhaps, the best example of what I mean An able physician is more useful to , a patient that the most devoted friend, and progress in medical knowledge does more for the health of the community than ill-informed philanthropy Nevertheless, an element of

benevolence is essential even here if any but the rich are to profit by scientific discoveries.

Love is a word which covers a variety of feelings; I have used it purposely, as I wish to include them all. Love as an emotion-which is what I am speaking about, for love "on principle" does not seem to me genuine-moves between two poles: on the one side, pure delight in contemplation; on the other, pure benevolence. Where inanimate objects are concerned, delight alone enters in : we cannot feel bene- . volence towards a landscape or a sonata. This type of enjoyment is presumably. the source of art. It is stronger, as a rule, in very young children than in adults, who are apt to view objects in a utilitarian spirit. It plays a large part in our feelings towards human beings; some of whom have charm and some the reverse, when

### THE GOOD LIFE

considered simply as objects of æsthetic contemplation

The opposite pole of love is pure benevolence Men have sacrificed their lives to helping lepers, in such a case the love they felt cannot have had any element of æsthetic delight Parental affection, as a rule, is accompanied by pleasure in the child's appearance, but remains strong when this element is wholly absent. It would seem odd to call a mother's interest in a sick child "benevolence," because we are in the habit of using this word to describe a pale emotion nine parts humbug But it is difficult to find any other word to describe the desire for another person's welfare. It is a fact that a desire of this sort may reach any degree of strength in the case of parental feeling In other cases it is far less intense, indeed it would seem likely that all altruistic emotion is a sort of

overflow of parental feeling, or sometimes a sublimation of it. For want of a better word, I shall call this emotion "benevolence." But I want to make it clear that I am speaking of an emotion, not a principle, and that I do not include in it any feeling of superiority such as is sometimes associated with the word. The word "sympathy" expresses part of what I mean, but leaves out the element of activity that I wish to include.

Love at its fullest is an indissoluble combination of the two elements, delight and well-wishing. The pleasure of a parent in a beautiful and successful child combines both elements; so does sex-love at its best. But in sex-love benevolence will only exist where there is secure possession, since otherwise jealousy will destroy it, while perhaps actually increasing the delight in contemplation. Delight without

# THE GOOD LIFE

well-wishing may be cruel, well-wishing without delight easily tends to become cold and a little superior A person who wishes to be loved wishes to be the object of a love containing both elements, except in cases of extreme weakness, such as infancy and severe illness In these cases benevolence may be all that is desired Conversely, in cases of extreme strength, admiration is more desired than benevolence this is the state of mind of potentates and famous beauties We only desire other people's good wishes in proportion as we feel ourselves in need of help or in danger of harm from them At least, that would seem to be the biological logic of the situation, but it is not quite true to life We\_desire affection in order to escape from the feeling of loneliness, in order to be, as we say, "understood" This is a matter of sympathy, not merely of [33] c

overflow of parental feeling, or sometimes a sublimation of it. For want of a better word, I shall call this emotion "benevolence." But I want to make it clear that I am speaking of an emotion, not a principle, and that I do not include in it any feeling of superiority such as is sometimes associated with the word. The word "sympathy" expresses part of what I mean, but leaves out the element of activity, that I wish to include.

Love at its fullest is an indissoluble combination of the two elements, delight and well-wishing. The pleasure of a parent in a beautiful and successful child combines both elements; so does sex-love at its best. But in sex-love benevolence will only exist where there is secure possession, since otherwise jealousy will destroy it, while perhaps actually increasing the delight in contemplation. Delight without

#### THE GOOD LIFE

well-wishing may be cruel, well-wishing without delight easily tends to become cold and a little superior A person who wishes to be loved wishes to be the object of a love containing both elements, except in cases of extreme weakness, such as infancy and severe illness In these cases benevolence may be all that is desired Conversely, in cases of extreme strength, admiration is more desired than benevolence . this is the state of mind of potentates and famous beauties We only desire other people's good wishes in proportion as we feel ourselves in need of help or in danger of harm from them At least, that would seem to be the biological logic of the situation, but it is not quite true to life. We desire affection in order to escape from the feeling of loneliness, in order to be, as we say, "understood" This is a matter of sympathy, not merely of

[33]

benevolence; the person whose affection is satisfactory to us must not merely wish us well, but, must know in what our happiness consists. But this belongs to the other element of the good life, namely knowledge.

In a perfect world, every sentient being would be to every other the object of the fullest love, compounded of delight, benevolence, and understanding inextricably blended. It does not follow that, in this actual world, we ought to attempt to have such feelings towards all the sentient beings whom we encounter. There are many in whom we cannot feel delight, because they are disgusting; if we were to do violence to our nature by trying to see beauties in them, we should merely blunt our susceptibilities to what we naturally find beautiful. Not to mention human beings there are fleas and bugs and lice. We should have

#### THE GOOD LIFE

to be as hard pressed as the Ancient Mariner before we could feel delight in contemplating these creatures. Some saints, it is true, have called them 'pearls of God,' but what these men delighted in was the opportunity of displaying their own sanctity.

Benevolence is easier to extend widely, but even benevolence has its limits. If a man wished to marry a lady, we should not think the better of him for withdrawing if he found that someone else also wished to marry her: we ' should regard this as a fair field for competition. Yet his feelings towards a rival cannot be wholly benevolent. I think that in all descriptions of the good life here on earth we must assume a certain basis of animal vitality and animal instinct; without this, life becomes tame and uninteresting. Civilization should be something added to this, not substituted for it: the

ascetic saint and the detached sage fail in this respect to be complete human beings. A small number of them may enrich a community; hut a world composed of them would die of boredom.

These considerations lead to a certain emphasis on the element of delight as an ingredient in the best love. Delight, in this actual world, is unavoidably selective, and prevents us from having the same feelings towards all mankind. When conflicts arise between delight and benevolence, they must, as a rule, be decided by a compromise, not by a complete surrender of either. Instinct has its rights, and if we do violence to it beyond a point it takes vengeance in subtle ways. Therefore in aiming at a good life the limits of human possibility must be borne in mind. Here again, however, we are brought back to the necessity of knowledge.

#### THE GOOD LIFE

When I speak of knowledge as an ingredient of the good life, I am not thinking of ethical knowledge, but of scientific knowledge and knowledge of particular facts I do not think there is, strictly speaking, such a thirg as ethical knowledge. If we desire to achieve some end, knowledge may show us the means, and this knowledge may loosely pass as ethical But I do not believe that we can decide what sort of conduct is right or wrong except by reference to its probable consequences Given an end to be achieved, it is a question for science to discover flow to achieve it. All moral rules must be tested by examining whether they tend to realize ends that we desire I say ends that we desire, not ends that we ought to desire What we "ought" to desire is merely what someone else wishes us to desire Usually it is what the authorities wish

us to desire-parents, schoolmasters, policemen, and judges. If you say to me "you ought to do so-and-so," the motive power of your remark lies in my desire for your approval-together, possibly, with rewards or punishments attached to your approval or disapproval. Since all behaviour springs from desire, it is clear that ethical notions can have no importance except as they influence desire. They do this through the desire for approval and the fear of disapproval. These are powerful social forces, and we shall naturally endeavour to win them to our side if we wish to realize any social purpose. When I say that the morality of conduct is to be judged by . its probable consequences, I mean that I desire to see approval given to behaviour likely to realize social purposes which we desire, and disapproval to opposite behaviour. At

[28]

### THE GOOD LIFE !

present this is not done; there are n certain traditional rules according to which approval and disapproval are meted out quite, regardless of consequences. But this is a topic with which we shall deal in the next chapter. "The superfluity of theoretical ethics is obvious in simple cases. Suppose, for instance, that your child is ill. Loye makes you wish to cure it, and "science tells you how to do so. There is not an intermediate stage of ethical theory, where it is demonstrated that your child had better be cured. Your A act springs directly from desire for an end, together with knowledge of means. This is equally true of all acts, whether good or bad. The ends differ, and the knowledge is more adequate in some cases than in others. But there is no conceivable way of making people do things they do not wish to do. What is possible is to

· alter their desires by a system of . rewards and penalties, among which social approval and disapproval are not the least potent. The question for the legislative moralist is, therefore: How shall this system of rewards and punishments be arranged so as to secure the maximum of what is desired by the legislative authority? If I say that the legislative authority has bad desires, I mean merely that its desires conflict with those of some section of the community to which I belong. Outside human desires there is no moral standard.

Thus, what distinguishes ethics from science is not any special kind of knowledge, but merely desire. The knowledge required in ethics is exactly like the knowledge elsewhere; what is peculiar is that certain ends are desired, and that right conduct is what conduces to them. Of course, if the

[40]

# THE GOOD LIFE

definition of right conduct is to make a wide appeal, the ends must be such as large sections of mankind desire If I defined right conduct as that which increases my own income, readers would disagree The whole effectiveness of any ethical argument lies in its scientific part, i.e in the proof that one kind of conduct, rather than some other, is a means to an end which is widely desired I distinguish, however, between ethical argument and ethical education The latter consists in strengthening certain desires and weakening others This is quite a different process, which will be separately discussed at a later stage We can now explain more exactly

We can now explain more exectly the purport of the definition of the good life with which this chapter began. When I said that the good life consists of love guided by knowledge, the desire which prompted me

was the desire to live such a life as far as possible, and to see others living it; and the logical content of the statement is that, in a community where men live in this way, more desires will be satisfied than in one where there is less love or less knowledge. I do not mean that such a life is "virtuous" or that its opposite is "sinful," for these are conceptions which seem to me to have no scientific justification.

# CHAPTER III

# MORAL RULES

The practical need of morals arises from the conflict of desires, whether of different people or of the same person at different times or even at one time. A man desires to drink, and also to be fit for his work next morning. We think him immoral if he adopts the course which gives him the smaller total satisfaction of desire. We think ill of people who are extravagant or reckless, even if they injure no one but themselves. Bentham supposed that the whole of morality could be derived from "enlightened self-interest," and that a person who always acted with a view to his own maximum satisfaction in the long run would always act rightly. I cannot

accept this view. Tyrants have existed who derived exquisite pleasure from watching the infliction of torture; I cannot praise such men when prudence led them to spare their victims' lives with a view to further sufferings another day. Nevertheless, other things being equal, prudence is a part of the good life. Even Robinson Crusoe had occasion to practise industry, self-control, and foresight, which must be reckoned as moral qualities, since they increased his total satisfaction without counterbalancing injury to others. This part of morals plays a great part in the training of young children, who have little inclination to think of the future. If it were more practised in later life, the world would quickly become a paradise, since it would be quite sufficient to prevent wars, which are acts of passion, not of reason. Nevertheless.

in spite of the importance of prudence it is not the most interesting part of morals. Nor is it the part that raises intellectual problems, since it does not require an appeal to anything beyond self-interest.

The part of morality that is not included in prudence is in essence, analogous to law, or the rules of a club It is a method of enabling men to live together in a community in spite of the possibility that their desires may conflict But here two very different methods are possible There is the method of the criminal law, which aims at a merely external harmony by attaching disagreeable consequences to acts which thwart other men's desires in certain ways This is also the method of social censure to be thought ill of by one's own society is a form of punishment, to avoid which most people

avoid being known to transgress the code of their set. But there is another method, more fundamental, and far more satisfactory when it succeeds. This is to alter men's characters and desires in such a way as to minimize occasions of conflict by making the success of one man's desires as far as possible consistent with that of another's. That is why love is better than hate, because it brings harmony. instead of conflict into the desires of the persons concerned. Two people. between whom there is love succeed or fail together, but when two people hate each other the success of either is the failure of the other.

If we were right in saying that the good life is inspired by love and guided by knowledge, it is clear that the moral code of any community is not ultimate and self-sufficient, but must be examined with a view to seeing

whether it is such as wisdom and benevolence would have decreed Moral codes have not always been faultless. The Aztecs considered it their painful duty to eat human flesh for fear the light of the sun should grow dim They erred in their science; and perhaps they would have perceived the scientific error if they had had any love for the sacrificial victims. Some tribes immure girls in the dark from the age of ten to the age of seventeen, for fear the sun's rays should render them pregnant. But surely our modern codes of morals contain nothing analogous to these savage practices? Surely we only forbid things which really are harmful, or at any rate so abominable that no decent person could defend them 2 I am not so sure Current morality is a curious blend of utilitarianism and superstition, but the superstitious part has the stronger

hold, as is natural, since superstition... is the origin of moral rules. Originally, certain acts were thought displeasing to the gods, and were forbidden by law because the divine wrath was apt to descend upon the community, not merely upon the guilty individuals. Hence prose the conception of sing i as that which is displeasing to God. No reason can be assigned as to why certain acts should be thus displeasing; it would be very difficult to say, for instance, why it was displeasing that the kid should be seethed in its mother's milk. But it was known by Revelation that this was the case. Sometimes the Divine commands have been curiously interpreted. For example, we are told not to work on Saturdays, and Protestants take this to mean that we are not to play on Sundays. But the same sublime authority is attributed to the new prohibition as to the old.

It is evident that a man with a scientific outlook on life cannot let himself be intimidated by texts of Scripture or by the teaching of the Church He will not be content to say "such-and-such an act is sinful. and that ends the matter" will inquire whether it does any harm, or whether on the contrary, the belief that it is sinful does harm . And he will find that, especially in what concerns sex, our current morality contains a very great deal of which the origin is purely superstitious He will find also that this superstition, like that of the Aztecs, involves ncedless cruelty, and would be swept away if people were actuated by kindly ! feelings towards their neighbours But the defenders of traditional morality are seldom people with warm hearts, as may be seen from the love of militarism displayed by Church

[40]

D

dignitaries. One is tempted to think that they value morals as affording a legitimate outlet for their desire to inflict pain: the sinner is fair game, and therefore away with tolerance!

Let us follow an ordinary human life from conception to the grave, and note the points where superstitious morals inflict preventable suffering. I begin with conception, because here the influence of superstition is particularly noteworthy. If the parents are not married, the child has a stigma, as clearly undeserved as anything could be. If either of the parents has venereal disease, the child is likely to inherit it. If they already have too many children for the family income, there will be poverty, underfeeding, overcrowding, very likely incest. Yet the great majority of moralists agree that the parents had better not know how to prevent this misery by

preventing conception. To please these moralists, a life of torture is inflicted upon millions of human beings who I ought never to have existed, merely because it is supposed that sexual intercourse is wicked tinless accompanied by desire for offspring, but not wicked when this desire is present, even though the offspring is humanly certain to be wretched. To be killed suddenly and then eaten, which was the fate of the Aztec's victims, is a far less degree of suffering than is inflicted upon a child born in miserable surroundings and tainted with venereal disease Yet it is the greater suffering which is deliberately inflicted by Bishops and politicians in the name of morality If they had even the smallest spark of love or pity for children they could not adhere to a moral code involving this fiendish cruelty.

At birth, and in early infancy, the

average child suffers more from economic causes than from superstition. When well-to-do women have children, they have the best doctors, the best nurses, the best diet, the best rest, and the best exercise. Working-class women do not enjoy these advantages, and frequently their children die for lack of them. A little is done by the public authorities in the way of care of mothers, but very grudgingly. At a moment when the supply of milk to nursing mothers is being cut down to save expense, public authorities will spend vast sums on paving rich residential districts where there is little traffic. They must know that in taking this decision they are condemning a certain number of workingclass children to death for the crime of poverty. Yet the ruling party are supported by the immense majority of ministers of religion, who, with the Pope

at their head, have pledged the vast forces of superstition throughout the world to the support of social injustice

In all stages of education the influence of superstition is disastrous ' 1 certain percentage of children have the habit of thinking one of the aims of education is to cure them of this habit Inconvenient questions are met with 'hush hush' or with punishment Collective emotion is used to instil certain kinds of belief more particularly nationalistic kinds Capitalists militarists, and ecclesiastics co-operate in education because all depend for their power upon the prevalence of emotionalism and the rarity of critical judgment With the aid of human nature, education succeeds in increasing and intensifying these propensities of the average man

Another way in which superstition damages education is through its

influence on the choice of teachers. For economic reasons, a womanteacher must not be married; for moral reasons, she must not have extra-marital sexual relations. And yet everybody who has taken the trouble to study morbid psychology knows that prolonged virginity is, as a rule, extraordinarily harmful to women, so harmful that, in a sane society, it would be severely discouraged in teachers. The restrictions imposed lead more and more to a refusal, on the part of energetic and enterprising women, to enter the teaching profession. This is all due to the lingering influence of superstitious asceticism.

At middle and upper-class schools the matter is even worse. There are chapel services, and the care of morals is in the hands of clergymen, Clergymen, almost necessarily, fail in two

ways as teachers of morals. They condemn acts which do no harm and they condone acts which do great harm They all condemn sexual relations between unmarried people who are fond of each other but not yet sure that they wish to live together all their lives. Most of them condemn birth-control None of them condemn the brutality of a husband who causes his wife to die of too frequent pregnancies. I knew a fashionable clergyman whose wife had nine children in nine years. The doctors told him that if she had another she would die Next year she had another and died No one condemned him; he retained his benefice and married again. So long as clergymen continue to condone cruelty and condemn innocent pleasure, they can only do harm as guardians of the morals of the young. Another bad effect of superstition on

about the facts of sex. The main physiological facts ought to be taught, quite simply and naturally before puberty at a time when they are not exciting. At puberty, the elements of an unsuperstitious sexual morality ought to be taught. Boys and girls should be taught that nothing can justify sexual intercourse unless there is mutual inclination. This is contrary to the teaching of the Church, which holds that, provided the parties are married and the man desires another child, sexual intercourse is justified however great may be the reluctance of the wife. Boys and girls should be taught respect for each other's liberty; they should be made to feel that nothing gives one human being rights over another, and that jealousy and possessiveness kill love. They should be taught that to bring another human being into the world is a very serious

matter, only to be undertaken when the child will have a reasonable prospect of health, good surroundings, and parental care. But they should also be taught methods of butth-control, so as to insure that children shall only come when they are wanted Finally, they should be taught the dangers of venereal disease, and the methods of prevention vand cure. The increase of human happiness to be expected from sex-education on these lines is unmeasurable.

It should be recognized that, in the absence of children, sexual relations are a <u>purely private matter</u>, which does not concern either the State or the neighbours Certain forms of sex which do not lend to children are at present punished by the criminal law this is purely superstatious since the matter is one which affects no one except the pirtues directly concerned. Where there are children, it is a mistake to

suppose that it is necessarily to their interest to make divorce very difficult. Habitual drunkenness, cruelty, insanity are grounds upon which divorce is necessary for the children's sake quite as much as for the sake of the wife or husband. The peculiar importance attached, at present, to adultery is quite irrational. It is obvious that many forms of misconduct are more fatal to married happiness than an occasional infidelity. Masculine insistence on a child a year, which is not conventionally misconduct or cruelty, is the most fatal of all.

Moral rules ought not to be such as to make instinctive happiness impossible. Yet that is an effect of strict monogamy in a community where the numbers of the two sexes tre very unequal. Of course, under such circumstances, the moral rules are infringed. But when the rules are

such that they can only be obeyed by grently diminishing the happiness of the community, and when it is better they should be infringed than observed, surely it is time that the rules were changed. If this is not done, many people who are acting in a way not contrary to the public interest are faced with the undeserved alternative of hypocrisy or obloquy. The Church does not mind <a href="https://linkinglish.org/linkinglish.org/">https://linkinglish.org/</a> and evil which we ought not lightly to inflict

Even more harmful than theological superstition is the superstition of nationalism, of duty to one's own State and to no other. But I do not propose on this occasion to discuss this matter, beyond pointing out that limitation to one's compatriots is contrary to the principle of love which we recognized

as constituting the good life. It is also, of course, contrary to enlightened self-interest, since an exclusive nationalism does not pay even the victorious nations.

One other respect in which our society suffers from the theological conception of "sin" is the treatment of criminals. The view that criminals are "wicked" and "deserve" punishment is not one which a rational morality can support. Undoubtedly certain people do things which society wishes to prevent, and does right in preventing as far as possible. We may take murder as the plainest case Obviously, if a community is to hold together and we are to enjoy its pleasures and advantages, we cannot by allow people to kill each other whenever they feel an impulse to do so. But this problem should be treated in a purely scientific spirit. We should ask simply :

What is the best method of preventing murder? Of two methods which are equally effective in preventing murder, the one involving least harm to the murderer is to be preferred. The harm to the murderer is wholly regrettable, like the pain of a surgical operation It may be equally necessary, but it is not a subject for rejoicing. The vindictive feeling called indignation" is merely a form of cruelty Suffering to the criminal can never be justified by the notion of vindictive punishment If education combined with kindness is equally effective, it is to be preferred, still more is it to be preferred if it is more effective Of course the prevention of crime and the punishment of crime are two different questions, the object of causing pain to the criminal is presumably deterrent. If prisons were so humanized that a prisoner got a good

education for nothing, people might commit crimes in order to qualify for entrance. No doubt prison must be less pleasant than freedom; but the best way to secure this result is to make freedom more pleasant than it sometimes is at present. I do not wish, however, to embark upon the subject of Penal Reform. I merely wish to surgest that we should treat the criminal as we treat a man suffering from plague. Each is a public danger, each must have his liberty curtailed until he has ceased to be a danger. But the man suffering from plague is an object of sympathy and commiseration, whereas the criminal is an object of execration. This is quite irrational. And it is because of this difference of attitude that our prisons are so much less successful in curing criminal tendencies than our hospitals are in curing disease.

# CHAPTER IV

# SALVATION: INDIVIDUAL AND SOCIAL

One of the defects of traditional religion is its individualism, and this defect belongs also to the morality associated with it. Traditionally, the religious life was, as it were, a duologue between the soul and God. To obey the will of God was virtue; and this was possible for the individual quite regardless of the state of the community. Protestant sects developed the idea of "finding salvation," but it was always present in Christian teaching. This individualism of the separate soul had its value at certain stages of history, but in the modern world we need rather a social than an individual

conception of welfare. I want to consider, in this chapter, how this affects our conception of the good life.

Christianity arose in the Roman Empire among populations wholly destitute of political power, whose national States had been destroyed and merged in a vast impersonal aggregate. During the first three centuries of the Christian Era the individuals who adopted Christianity could not after the social or political institutions under which they lived, although they were profoundly convinced of their badness. In these circumstances, it was 'natural that they should adopt the belief that an individual may be perfect in an imperfect world, and that the good life has nothing to do with this world. What I mean may become plain by comparison with Plato's Republic. When Plato wanted to describe the good

#### SALVATION

life, he described a whole community, not an individual, he did so in order to define justice, which is an essentially social conception. He was accustomed to citizenship of a Republic, and political responsibility was something which he took for granted. With the loss of Greek freedom comes the rise of Stoicism, which is like Christianity, and, unlike Plato, in having an individualistic conception of the good life.

We, who belong to great democracies should find a more appropriate morality in free Athens than in despotic Imperial Rome. In India, where the political circumstances are very similar to those of Judea in the time of Christ, we find Ghandi preaching a very similar morality to Christ's and being punished for it by the christianized successors of Pontius Pilate. But the more extreme Indian nationalists are not content

[65]

г

with individual salvation: they want national salvation. In this they have taken on the outlook of the free democracies of the West. I want to suggest some respects in which this outlook, owing to Christian influences, is not yet sufficiently bold and self-conscious, but is still hampered by the belief in individual salvation.

The good life, as we conceive it, demands a multitude of social conditions, and cannot be realized without them. The good life, we said, is a life inspired by love and guided by knowledge. The knowledge required can only exist where governments or millionaires devote themselves to its discovery and diffusion. For example: the spread of cancer is alarming—what are we to do about it? At the moment, no one can answer the question for lack of knowledge; and the knowledge is not likely to emerge except

Christian conception, the good life is the virtuous life, and virtue consists in obedience to the will of God, and the will of God is revealed to each individual through the voice of conscience. This whole conception is that of men subject to an alien despotism. The good life involves much besides virtue-intelligence, for instance. And conscience is a most fallacious guide, since it consists of vague reminiscences of precents heard in early youth, so that it is never wiser than its possessor's nurse or mother. To live a good life in the fullest sense a man must have a good education, friends, love, children (if he desires them), a sufficient income to keep him from want and grave anxiety, good health, and work which is not uninteresting. All these things, in varying degrees, depend upon the community, and are helped or hindered by political events. The good life must

# SALVATION

be lived in a good society, and is not fully possible otherwise.

This is the fundamental defect of the aristocratic ideal. Certain good things, such as art and science and friendship, can flourish very well in an aristocratic society. They existed in Greece on a basis of slavery, they exist among ourselves on a basis of exploitation But love, in the form of sympathy or benevolence, cannot exist freely in an aristocratic society. The aristocrat has to persuade himself that the slave or proletarian or coloured man is of inferior clay, and that his sufferings do not matter. At the present moment, polished English gentlemen flog Africans so severely that they die after hours of unspeakable anguish. Even if these gentlemen are welleducated, artistic, and admirable conversationalists. I cannot admit that they are living the good life Human

[69]

nature imposes some limitation of sympathy, but not such a degree as that. In a democratically-minded society, only a maniac would behave in this way. The limitation of sympathy involved in the aristocratic ideal is its condemnation. Salvation is an aristocratic ideal, because it is individualistic. For this reason, also, the idea of personal salvation, however interpreted and expanded, cannot serve for

Another characteristic of salvation is that it results from a catastrophic change, like the conversion of Saint-Paul. Shelley's poems afford an illustration of this conception applied to societies; the moment comes when everybody is converted, the "anarchs" fly, and "the world's great age begins anew." It may be said that a poet is an unimportant person, whose ideas are of no consequence. But I am

#### SALVATION

persuaded that a large proportion of revolutionary leaders have had ideas extremely like Shelley's They have thought that misery and cruelty and degradation were due to tyrants or priests or capitalists or Germans, and that if these sources of evil were overthrown there would be a general change of heart and we should all live happy ever after. Holding these beliefs, they have been willing to wage a "war to end war." Comparatively fortunate were those who suffered defeat or death, those who had the misfortune to emerge victorious were reduced to cynicism and despair by the failure of all their glowing hopes The ultimate source of these hopes was the Christian doctrine of catastrophic conversion as the road to salvation.

I do not wish to suggest that revolutions are never necessary, but I do wish to suggest that they are not short cuts

to the millennium. There is no short cut to the good life, whether individual or social. To build up the good life, we must build up intelligence, self-control, and sympathy. This is a quantitative matter, a matter of gradual improvement, of early training, of educational experiment. Only impatience prompts the belief in the possibility of sudden improvement. The gradual improvement that is possible, and the methods by which it may be achieved, are a matter for future science. But something can be said now. Some part of what can be said I shall try to indicate in a final chapter.

## CHAPTER V

# SCIENCE AND HAPPINESS

The purpose of the moralist is to improve men's behaviour. This is a laudable ambition, since their behaviour is for the most part deplorable. But I cannot praise the moralist either for the particular improvements he desires, or for the methods he adopts for achieving them. His ostensible method is moral exhortation; his real method (if he is orthodox) is a system of economic rewards and punishments. The former effects nothing permanent or important; the influence of revivalists, from Savonarola downwards, has always been very transitory. latter-the rewards and punishments-

have a very considerable effect. They cause a man, for example, to prefer casual prostitutes to a quasi-permanent mistress, because it is necessary to adopt the method which is most easily concealed. They thus keep up the, numbers of a very dangerous profession, and secure the prevalence of venereal disease. These are not the objects desired by the moralist, and he is too unscientific to notice that they are the objects which he actually achieves. there anything better to be substituted for this unscientific mixture of preaching and bribery? I think

there is.

Men's actions are harmful either from ignorance or from bad desires. "Bad" desires, when we are speaking from a social point of view, may be defined as those which tend to thwart the desires of others, or, more exactly, those which thwart more desires than

# SCIENCE AND HAPPINESS

they assist It is not necessary to dwell upon the harmfulness that springs from ignorance, here, more knowledge is all that is wanted, so that the road to improvement lies in more research and more education. But the harmfulness that springs from bad desires is a more difficult matter.

In the ordinary man and woman there is a certain amount of active malevolence, both special ill will directed to particular enemies and general impersonal pleasure in the misfortunes of others. It is customary to cover this over with fine phrases, about half of conventional morality is a cloak for it. But it must be faced if the moralists' aim of improving our actions is to be achieved. It is shown in a thousand ways great and small in the glee with which people repeat and believe scandal, in the unlind treatment of cruminals in spue of clear proof

that better treatment would have more effect in reforming them, in the unbelievable barbarity with which all white races treat negroes, and in the gusto with which old ladies and clergymen pointed out the duty of military service to young men during the War. Even children may be the objects of wanton cruelty: David Copperfield and Oliver Twist are by no means imaginary. This active malevolence is the worst feature of human nature, and the one which it is most necessary to change if the world is to grow happier. Probably this one cause has more to do with war than all the economic and political causes put together.

Given this problem of preventing malevolence, how shall we deal with it? First let us try to understand its causes. These are, I think, partly social, partly physiological. The world,

# SCIENCE AND HAPPINESS

now as much as at any former time, is based upon life-and-death competition, the question at issue in the War was whether German or allied children should die of want and starvation (Apart from malevolence on both sides there was not the slightest reason why both should not survive ) Most people have in the bickground of their minds a haunting fear of ruin, this is especially true of people who have children The rich fear that Bolsheviks will confiscate their investments, the poor fear that they will lose their job or their health Fveryone is engaged in the frantic pursuit of 'security," and imagines that this is to be achieved by keeping potential enemies in subjection It is in moments of panic that cruelty becomes most widespread and most atrocious Reactionaries everywhere appeal to fear in England to fear of Bolshevism, in France, to

group, lest just resentment should lead the oppressed to rebel. Only justice can give security; and by "justice" I mean the recognition of the equal claims of all human beings.

In addition to social changes designed to bring security, there is, however, another and more direct means of diminishing fear, namely by a regimen\_ designed to increase courage. Owing to the importance of courage in battle, men early discovered means of increasing it\_by\_education and diet-eating human flesh, for example, was supposed to be useful. But military courage was to be the prerogative of the ruling caste: Spartans were to have more than helots, British officers than Indian sprivates, men than women, and so on. For centuries it was supposed to be the privilege of the aristocracy. increase of courage in the ruling caste was used to increase the burdens on the

oppressed, and therefore to increase the grounds for fear in the oppressors, and therefore to feave the causes of critelty undiminished. Courage must be democratized before it can make men humane.

To a great extent, courage has already been democratized by recent events The suffragettes showed that they possessed as much courage as the bravest men: this demonstration was essential in winning them the vote. The common soldier in the War needed as much courage as a Captain or Lieutenant, and much more than a General: this had much to do with his lack of servility after demobilization. The Bolsheviks, who proclaim themselves the champions of the proletariat, are not lacking in courage, whatever else may be said of them; this is proved by their pre-revolutionary record In Japan, where formerly the samuras had

[18]

r

a menopoly of martial ardour, conscription brought the need of courage throughout the male population. Thus among all the Great Powers much has been done during the past halfcentury to make courage no longer an aristocratic monopoly: if this were not the case, the danger to democracy would be far-greater than it is.

But courage in fighting is by no means the only form, nor perhaps even the most important. There is courage in facing poverty, courage in facing derision, courage in facing the hostility of one's own herd. In these, the bravest soldiers are often lamentably deficient. And above all there is the courage to think calmly and rationally in the face of danger, and to control the impulse of panic fear or panic rage. These are certainly things which education of every form of courage is rendered

easier by good health, good physique, adequate nourishment, and free play for fundamental vital impulses. Perhaps the physiological sources of courage could be discovered by comparing the blood of a cat with that of a rabbit. In all likelihood there is no limit to what science could do in the way of increasing courage, by example, experience of danger, an athletic life, and a suitable diet. All these things our upperains boys to a great extent enjoy, but as yet they are in the main the prerogative of wealth. The courage so far encouraged in the poorer sections of the community is courage under orders, not the kind that involves initiative and leadership. When the qualities that now confer leadership have become universal, there will no longer be leaders and followers, and democracy will have been realized at last

But fear is not the only source\_of malevolence; envy and disappointment also have their share. The envy of cripples and hunchbacks is proverbial as a source of malignity, but other misfortunes than theirs produce similar results. A man or woman who has been thwarted sexually is apt to be full of envy; this generally takes the form of moral condemnation of the more fortunate. Much of the driving force of revolutionary movements is due to envy of the rich. Jealousy is, of course, a special form of envy: envy. of love. The old often envy the young; when they do, they are apt to treat them cruelly.

There is, so far as I know, no way of dealing with envy except to make, the lives of the envious happier and fuller, and to encourage in youth the idea of collective enterprises rather than competition. The worst forms of envy are

in those who have not had a full life in the way of marriage, or children, or career. Such misfortunes could in most cases be avoided by better social institutions. Still, it must be admitted that a residuum of envy is likely to remain. There are many instances in history of Generals so jealous of each other that they preferred defeat to enhancement of the other's reputation. Two politicians of the same party, or two artists of the same school, are almost sure to be jealous of one another. In such cases, there seems nothing to be done except to arrange, as far as possi-

ble, that each competitor shall be unable to injure the other, and shall only be able to win by superior merit. An artist's jealousy of a rival usually does little harm, because the only effective way of indulging it is to paint better pictures than his rival's, since it is not open to him to destroy his rival's

pictures. Where envy is unavoidable it must be used as a stimulus to one's own efforts, not to the thwarting of the efforts of rivals.

The possibilities of science in the way of increasing human happiness are not confined to diminishing those aspects of human nature which make for mutual defeat, and which we therefore call "bad." There is probably no limit to what science can do in the way of increasing positive excellence. Health has already been greatly improved; in spite of the lamentations of those who idealize the past, we live longer and have fewer illnesses than any class or nation in the eighteenth century. With a little more application of the knowledge we already possess, we might be much healthier than we are. And future discoveries are likely to accelerate this process enormously.

So far, it has been physical science

that has had most effect upon our lives, but in the future physiology and psychology are likely to be far more potent. When we have discovered how character depends upon physiological conditions, we shall be able, if we choose, to produce far more of the type of human being that we admire. Intelligence, artistic capacity, benevolence-all these things no doubt could be increased by science. There seems scarcely any limit to what could be done in the way of producing a good world, if only men would use science wisely I have expressed elsewhere my fears that men may not make a wise use of the power they derive from science \* At present I am concerned with the good that men could do if they chose, not with the question whether they will choose rather to do harm

There is a certain attitude about the

See Icarus

application of science to human life with which I have some sympathy, though I do not, in the last analysis, agree with it. It is the attitude of those who dread what is "unnatural." Rousseau is, of course, the great protagonist of this view in Europe. In Asia, Lao-Tze has set it forth even more persuasively, and 2400 years sooner. I think there is a mixture of truth and falsehood in the admiration of "nature," which it is important to disentangle. To begin with, what is "natural"? Roughly speaking, anything to which the speaker was accustomed in childhood. Lao-Tze objects to roads and carriages and boats, all of which were probably unknown in the village where he was born. Rousseau has got used to these things, and does not regard them as against nature. But he would no doubt have thundered against railways if he had

lived to see them Clothes and cooking are too ancient to be denounced by most of the ipostles of nature, though they all object to new fashions in either Birth-control is thought wicked by people who tolerate celibacy, because the former is a new violation of nature and the latter an incient one. In all these ways those who preach "nature" are inconsistent and one is tempted to right of them is mere conservatives.

Nevertheless there is something to be said in their favour. Take for instance vitamines the discovery of which has produced a revulsion in favour of "intural" foods. It seems, however, that vitamines can be supplied by cod liver oil and electric light, which are certainly not part of the "natural" diet of a human being. This case illustrates that, in the absence of knowledge, unexpected harm may be done by a new departure from nature.

but when the harm has come to be understood it can usually be remedied by some new artificiality. As regards our physical environment and our physical means of gratifying our desires, I do not think the doctrine of "nature" justifies anything beyond a certain experimental caution in the adoption of new expedients. Clothes, for instance, are contrary to nature, and need to be supplemented by another unnatural practice, namely washing, if they are not to bring disease. But the two practices together make a man healthier than the savage who eschews both.

There is much more to be said for "nature" in the realm of human desires. To force upon man, woman, or child a life which thwarts their strongest impulses is both cruel and dangerous; in this sense, a life according to "nature" is to be commended with certain provisos. Nothing could

be more artificial than an underground electric railway, but no violence is done to a child's nature when it is taken to travel in one; on the contrary, almost all children find the experience delightful. Artificialities which gratify the desires of ordinary human beings are good, other things being equal. But there is nothing to be said for ways of life which are artificial in the sense of being imposed by authority or economic necessity. Such ways of life are, no doubt, to some extent necessary at present : ocean travel would become very difficult if there were no stokers on steamers. But necessities of this kind are regrettable, and we ought to look for ways of avoiding them. A certain amount of work is not a thing to complain of ; indeed, in nine cases out of ten, it makes a man happier than complete idleness. But the amount and kind of work that most people have

to do at present is a grave evil: especially bad is the life-long bondage to routine. Life should not be too closely regulated or too methodical; our impulses, when not positively destructive or injurious to others, ought if possible to have free play; there should be room for adventure. Human nature we should respect, because our impulses and desires are the stuff out of which our happiness is to be made. It is no use to give men something abstractly considered "good": we must give them something desired or needed if we are to add to their happiness. Science may learn in time to mould our desires so that they shall not conflict with those of other people to the same extent as they do now; then we shall be able to satisfy a larger proportion of our desires than at present. In that sense, but in that sense only, our desires will then have become "better,"

A single desire is no better and no worse, considered in isolation, than any other; but a group of desires is better than another group if all of the first group can be satisfied simultaneously, while in the second group some are inconsistent with others. That is why love is better than hatred.

To respect physical nature is foolish; physical nature should be studied with a view to making it serve human ends as far as possible, but it remains ethically neither good nor bad. And where physical nature and human nature interact, as in the population question, there is no need to fold our hands in passive adoration and accept war, pestilence, and famine as the only possible means of dealing with excessive fertility. The divines say: it is wicked, in this matter, to apply science to the physical side of the problem, we must (they say) apply morals to the

human side, and practise abstinence. Apart from the fact that everyone, including the divines, knows that their advice will not be taken, why should it be wicked to solve the population question by adopting physical means for preventing conception? No answer is forthcoming except one based upon antiquated dogmas. And clearly the violence to nature advocated by the divines is at least as great as that involved in birth-control. The divines prefer a violence to human nature which, when successfully practised, involves unhappiness, envy, a tendency to persecution, often madness. I prefer a "violence" to physical nature which is of the same sort as that involved in the steam engine or even in the use of in umbrella. This instance shows how imbiguous and uncertain is the appliation of the principle that we should 'ollow "nature."

Nature, even human nature, will cease more and more to be an absolute datum; more and more it will become what scientific manipulation has made it. Science can, if it chooses, enable our grandchildren to live the good life, by giving them knowledge, self-control, and characters productive of harmony rather than strife. At present it is teaching our children to kill each other, because many men of science are willing to sacrifice the future of mankind to their own momentary prosperity. But 1 this phase will pass when men have acquired the same domination over their own passions that they already have over the physical forces of the external world. Then at last we shall have won our freedom.

# TO-DAY AND TO-MORROW

Each pott 800 boards, 2/6 net

THIS series of books by some of the most distinguished English thinkers scientists philosophers, doctors critics, and artists was at once recognized as a noteworthy event Written from various points of view, one book frequently opposing the argument of another, they provide the reader with a survey of numerous aspects of modern thought. That very lively and courageous series."

-Spectator "A remarkable series"Observer "This admirable series of provocative and brilliant essays'-Daily
Telegraph We have long desired
to express deep admiration for this
sines We must pay tribute to the high
standard of thought and expression they
maintain "-Field"

### Putlished by

hEGAN PAUL, TRENCH TRUBNER & CO., LTD Breadway House 65 74 Carter Lane, London, E.C.4

### CLASSIFIED INDEX

FAGE

18

11

5

10

. 13

20

te

6

11

18

10

12

14

4

7

16

ź

3

Dachina, or Science, and up GENERAL. B. S. Hildane Intern. of the French of Science and Experience and Experienc

Hypatia, or Woman and Knowlege. Der Russell Lysistrata, or Woman and Knowlege. Der Russell Lysistrata, or Woman's Feture and Future Woman. A. M. Ludovich Hypen, or the Feture of Marrage. Norman Haire Three Marrage and Marr

Chroucs, or the Future of the Family. Lden Paul
Birth Control and the State, C. P. Blacker
Romulus, or the Future of the Chifd. R. I. Lewis
Lares et Fenates, or the Home of the Future. H. J. Birustingi

Galilo, or the Tyrampy of Science, J. W. N. Sailivan
Archanodes, or the Future of Physics, L. L. Whyte
Archanodes, or the Future of Physics, L. L. Whyte
Harmes, or the Future of Chemistry, T. W. Jones
Promethers, or Physics of Marchanology of the Advancement of Man. H. S., reinling
Galitac or the Future of Darmson. W. Russell Blain
Sailvan or the Future of Darmson. W. Russell Blain
Sayphus, or the Limits of Phychology. M. Jacque,
Mexinathonyo, or the Future of the Body, R. C. Jadec.

Morpheus, or the Future of Steep, D. F. Frase-Harris
The Conquest of Caucer. H. W. S. Wright
Automaton, or the Future of Mechanical Man. H. S. Hatfield
INDUSTRY AND THE MACHINE
Ouroborus, or the Mechanical Estenson of Manhand, G. Garrett

Vulcan, or the Tuture of Labour. Cedi Chiabolin
Typhoeus, or the Future of Socialism. Arthur Shadwell
Hephaestus, or the Soul of the Machine. L. E. Fournier D'Albe
Ardiler, or the Future of Craismanship. John Gloog.
Pegsus, or Problems of Transport. J. F. C. Faller.
Pegsus, or Problems of Transport. J. F. C. Faller.
Wireless Possphillies, A. M. Lowg Machine. Giver Stewart
Wireless Possphillies, A. M. Lowg

WAR

Janus, or the Conquest of War. William McDougall Callinicus, a Defence of Chemical Warfare. J. B. S. Haldane . Luculius, or the Food of the Future. Olga Hartley and C. F. Leyel

Bacchus, or the Future of Wine. P. Morton Shand .

MISCELLANEGUS
Narcisms, an Anatomy of Clothes, Gerald Heard .

#### CLASSIFIED INDEX SOCIETY AND THE STATE

PAGE

\*\* 20

15

ž

20

72

0

13

20

20 20

17

20 ii 17

18

18

17 ťά

9

11 \*\* 10

20

\*\*

20

tz

21 10

ŕ

11 ..

18

30

Ř

\*\*

10 TO 13

Archon or the Future of Government. Hamilton Fyfe Sinon, or the Future of Poli ics. L. A. Mowret Cain of the Future of Crime. George Godwin Autolycus or the Future of Miscreast Youth R G Gordon Cato or the Future of Censorsh p William Seagl Solon, or the Prior of fustice C P Harvey

Steator or the Press of Fo-Day and To-Morrow D Ockham huntius, or Advertising and is Future Gibert Russell Rusticus or the Future of the Countrys de Martin S Briggs Procrustes or the Future of Education M Alderton Pink Eleu beros or the Feture of the Public Schools. J. F. Rozburgh Chiron, or the Education of a Citizen of the Word M. C. Peston Alma Vater or the Future of the Universities Julian Hall

Apella or the Future of Oxford W J h. D plock
Apella or the Future of the Jews A Quarterly Reviewer
Thurschus or the Future of the Pulpit W nitred Holtby Vicinti Gablace I or The Church of England E B Powley GREAT BRITAIN. THE ENPIRE AND AMERICA

Cassandra, or the Future of the British Limp re F C S Schiller Caledouts, or the Future of the Seats G Utlockin Thomison Albyn or Scotland and the Future C M Grieve H berula or the Future of Ireland Bolton C Waller Columbia or the Future of Canada George Godwin Achates, or Canada in the Empire W Eric Harris Shive or the Future of Inda R J M nney Plato's American Republic J Douglas Woodruff Mids of the Un ted States and the luture C H Bretherton

Atlantis, or America and the Future | F C Fuller LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE

Pomona or the Fu ure of English Basil de Sel neourt Breaking Prucian a Head ) Y T Greag Saxo Grammat cus Ernest Weekley

Babel or the Future of Human Speech Sir Richard Paget Lars Porsens, or the Future of Sweaping Robert Graves It Iso t Done Archibald Lyall Delphoe or the Fu ure of In ernational Language E Sylvia Pankhurst

S. beberraude or the Future of the Novel John Carruthers Deucal on, or the Future of Cri cism Geoffrey West Thanyris or Is There a Future for Poetry? R C Trevel) an The Future of Futurism John Rocker Mrs Fisher or the Future of Humour Robert Graves

Pons Assocrato or the Future of Nonsense George Ed ager Democritus or the l'uture of Laughter Gerald Lould ART ARCHITECTURE MUSIC DRAMA ETC Enterpe of the Fut re of Art Lionel R McColvin Proteus or the Fu ure of I telligence Version Lee

Baibus of the Future of Arch tecture Chris ian Barman Dailous of the ruture of Arch tecture Carm and the Corphesis of the Mus c of the Future W J Turner Jerpand r o Mus c and the Future E. J Dent Burydice or the Nature of Opera Dyneley Hussey for the Future of Shakespeare Hubert Griffith Imotheus or the Future of the Theatre Bonamy Dobrée Heracii us, or the Future of I has Ernest Betts SPORT AND EXPLORATION

Atalanta or the Future of Sport G S Sa d lands Fortuna or Chance and Deura Norwood Young Hanno or the Future of Exploration | L No chell

### VOLUMES READY

Daedalus, or Science and the Future By J B S HALDANE, Reader in Biochemistry, University of Cambridge Ninth undression

"The essay is brilliant sparkling with wit and bristling with challenges —Brite h Medical Journal "Fred cts the most startling changes "—Merring Post

Icarus, or the Future of Science By BERTHAND RUSSELL, FRS Fifth impression

"Utter pess mism"—Observer "Mr Russell refuses to believe that the progress of Science must be a boon to mankind —Morang Post

What I Believe. By BERTRAND RUSSELL, FRS Seventh impression

One of the most bull ant god thought-stimulating little books I have read—a better book even than framus—Nation "Simply and builtantly a ration"—Nature

Callinious, a Defence of Chemical Warfare By J B S HALDANE Second impression

"Mr Haldage a brilliant study "-Tunes Leading Article "A book to be read by every lotelligent adult "-Speciator

Tantalus, or the Future of Man By F C 5 SCHILLFR Third impression

"They are all(10s abuse fearer and transla) Itiliarly clever and they appleased one another "—Donn fees in to-sway Jose" immersely valuable and infamily

readable .- Done hour

Cassandra, or the Future of the British Empire. By F. C. S. SCHILLER. Second impression.

"We commend it to the complacent of all parties."—
Saturday Price" "The book is small, but very, very
weighty, brilliantly written it ought to be read by all
shades of politicisms,"—Yorkbite Prof.

Quo Vadimus ? Glimpses of the Future. By E. E. FOURNIER D'ALBE, D.SC. Second impression.

"A wonderful vision of the future. A book that will be talked about."—Daily Grapkic. "Interesting and singularly plausible."—Daily Telegraph.

Thrasymachus, the Future of Morals. By C. E. M. Joan. Third impression.

"Written to a style of deliberate brilliance."—Times Literary Supplement. "As outspoken and unequivocal a contribution as could well be imagined. A book that will startle."—Daily Chronele.

Lysistrata, or Woman's Future and Future Woman. By ANTHONY M. LUDOVICI. Third impression.

"A stimulating book. Volumes would be needed to deal with all the problems raised."—Sunday Times. "Full of brilliant commonscose."—Observer.

Hypatia, or Woman and Knowledge. By MRS. BERTRAND RUSSELL. Third impression.

"A passionate vindication of the rights of woman"—
Manchester Guardsan, "Says a number of things that
sensible women have been wanting publicly said for a long
sing,"—Daily Herald,

Hephaestus, the Soul of the Machine. By E. E. FOURNIER D'ALBE, D.SC.

"A worthy contribution to this interesting series. A delightful and thought-provoking essay."—Birmingham Post, "An exceedingly clever defence of machinery."—Architects' fournal.

### The Conquest of Cancer. By H W S WRIGHT, MS, FRCS Introduction by F G CROOKSHANK, MD

"Endoently suitable for general read or The problem is lucidly presented. One ment of Mr. Wright's plan is that he tells people what they can best do Mers and now "— From the Introduction."

Prometheus, or Biology and the Advancement of Man By Professor H S JENNINGS Second impression

"This volume is one of the most remarkable that has yet appeared in this series. Certainly the information it contains will be new to most educated lawrent."—Times Literary Supplement An exceedingly bulliant book "—New Leafurgues".

Galatea, or the Future of Darwinism. By W Russell Brain

"A hrilliant exposition of the present position of the evolutionary hypothesis —Guardian Should prove livelinable. A stimulating and well written essay "—Literary Guile

Automaton, or the Future of the Mech anical Man By H STAFFORD HATFIELD It is impossible to do serious justice to his volume on

It is impossible to do serious justice to his volume on the Chemical Robot in a brief review. It calls for a monumental work of opposition.—Daily Herald

Narcissus: an Anatomy of Clothes By Gerald Heard Second impression
"A most suggestive book "- Nation "Investible

"A most suggestive book"—Nation "Irresist ble Reading it is like a switchback fourney Starting from prehistoric I mes we rocket down the ages."—Dasy Amer

Tham; ris, or Is There a Future for Poetry? By R C TREVELYAN

"Learned, sensible and very well-written"
Hank in New Stateman "Very suggestive"

Squire to Observer

Proteus, or the Future of Intelligence. By Vernon Lee.

"Her book is profoundly stimulating and thould be read by everyone "—Outlook "A concise, suggestive piece of work,"—Salurday Review

Timotheus, the Future of the Theatre. By Bonamy Dobree.

"A witty, mischievous little book; to be read with delight "—Times I sterary Supplement." His gay little book makes delightful reading."—Nation,

The Dance of Civa, or Life's Unity and Rhythm. By COLLUM.

"It has substance and thought in it. The author is very much alive and responsive to the movements of to-day," — Specialor. "A very interesting account of the work of Sir Jagadis Bose."—Oxford Magazine.

Wireless Possibilities, By Professor A. M. Low. With 4 diagrams.

"He has many interesting things to say,"—Evening Standard, "The maintle of Blake has fallen upon the physicists. To them we look for visions, and we find them in this book,"—New Stateman.

Perseus: of Dragons. By H. F. Scott Stokes. With 2 illustrations.

"A diverting little book, chock-full of ideas. Mr. Stokes' dragon-lore is both quaint and various,"—Morning Post.

Lycurgus, or the Future of Law. By E. S. P. HAYNES.

"An interesting and concisely written book,"--Yorkthire Post, "A thoughtful book--deserves careful reading," --Law Times.

Euterpe, or the Future of Art. By LIONEL R. McCOLVIN.

"Discusses briefly, but very suggestively, the problem of the future of art in relation to the public,"—Salurday Review. "This is a much-needed book "—New I cader.

Pegasus, or Problems of Transport By Colonel J F C Fuller

"The foremost m I tary prophet of the day propounds a solution for industrial and unemployment problems. It is a bold essay "Dady Telegraph." Practical timely very interesting and very important ""Setation."

Atlantis, or America and the Future By Colonel J F C Fuller

"Candid and caustic "-Observer Many hard things have been saul about America but few quite so bitter as these "-Daily Sket h

Midas, or the United States and the Future By C H BRETHERTON

2

This wise and witty pamphlet a provocation to the thought that is creative —- How me Post "A punch in every paragraph One could hardly ask for more "meat "
—- Specialist

Nuntius, or Advertising and its Future By GILBERT RUSSELL

Expresses the ph losophy of advertis og concise y and well "—Ob erver". It is doubtful if a more atraught forward exposition of advertising has been written Manchaler Gus dans

Birth Control and the State By C P.
BLACKER MC MA MRCS LRCP

"A very careful summary"—Times Literary Supplement "A temperate survey of the arguments for and against the encouragement of the practice of birth control"—Lancti

Ouroboros, or the Mechanical Extension of Mankind By GARET GARRETT

"This brilliant and provoking I the book "--Ob error "A sign ficant and thoughtful essay calculated in parts to make our firsh creep -- Section."

Artifex, or the Future of Craftsmanship
By JOHN GLOAG

An able and interesting summary of the history of craftsmanship. Mr. Goag's real contribution to the future of craftsmanship is his discussion of the uses of machinery.—Tieses Literay Sunficients.

### Plato's American Republic. By J. Douglas Woodruff. Fifth impression

"Uses the form of the Socratic dialogue with devastating success A gently maticious wit sparkles in every page."

—Sawday Itmes. "Having detiberately set immet[ an almost impossible task has succeeded beyond belief"—

Saturday Review "Quite the liveliest even of this spanied settes "—Observer."

### Orpheus, or the Music of the Future. By W. J. Turner. Second impression.

"A book on music that we can read not merely once, but twice or three. Mr. Turner has given us some of the facest thinking upon Beethoven that I have ever met with..." Erned Neuman in Sunday Times.

### Terpander, or Music and the Future. By E. J. DENT.

"In Orphas Str. Turner made a brilliant voyage in search of first principles. Mr. Dent's book is a skillul review of the development of musse, It is the most succinct and stimulating easy on music I have found,"—Abasical News.—"Remarkably able and stimulating,"—Times Literary Supplements.

### Sibylla, or the Revival of Prophecy. By C. A. Mace.

"An entertaining and instructive pamphlet,"-Morning Post. "Places a nightmare before us very ably and withly." -Speciator.

### Lucullus, or the Food of the Future. By OLGA HARTLEY and Mrs. C. F. LEYEL.

"This is a witty volume in an entertaining series, and it makes enchanting reading."—Timer Litrary Supplement. "Opens with a brilliant picture of modern man, living in a vacuum-cleaned, steam-heated, craft furnished suburban mansson." This banquet of epygrams."—Speciator.

### Procrustes, or the Future of English Education. By M. Alderton Pink.

"Undoubtedly he makes out a very good tase."—Daily Herald. "This interesting addition to the series."—Times Educational Supplement.

### The Future of Futurism. By John Rodker

Mr Rodker is up-to-the minute and he has accomplished a considerable feat in writing on such a vague subject in nety two extremely interesting pages."—T S Eliot in valion

### Pomona, or the Future of English By BASIL DE SELINCOURT

"His later pages must stir the blood of any man who loves his country and her poetry "-J C Squire in Observer "His finely-conceived essay "-Manchester Guardian

### Balbus, or the Future of Architecture By Christian Barman.

"A really brilliant addition to this already divinguished series and incafentially an hour or so of excellent enter-tainment "—Spectator " Most readable and reasonable We can recommend it warmly "—New Statesman

### Apella, or the Future of the Jews By A QUARTERLY REVIEWER

"Cogent because of brevity and a magnificent prese style this book wins our quiet praise. It is a fine ramphlet add ing to the value of the series, and should not be missed." "Speciate."

### Lars Porsena, or the Future of Swearing and Improper Language By Robert GRAVES Sixth impression

No more amissingly unexpected contribution has been made to this series. A delicously inconical affair—made to this series A delicously inconical affair—series. His highly entertaining essay is as hull as the current standard of printers and police will allow—A for Stateman.

### Mrs Fisher, or the Future of Humour. By Robert Graves Second impres-

<sup>&</sup>quot;Few volumes in this celebrated series have rologed a more deserved success than should be achieved by Mrs. Fulker. The with and during of Lers' Pewers a very took it to a fourth impression. Mrs. Fulker is even better "— Duty Lapters."

Socrates, or the Emancipation of Mankind. By H. F. CARLILL,

"Devotes a specially lively section to the herd instinct."

—Times 'Clearly, and with a balance that is almost
Anstotellan, he reveals what modern psychology is going
to accomplish."—New Statesman.

Delphos, or the Future of International Language. By E. Sylvia Pankhurst.

"Equal to anything yet produced in this brilliant series.

Miss Pankhurst states very clearly that an international language would be one of the greatest assets of civilization."

—Specialor.

Gallio, or the Tyranny of Science. By I. W. N. SULLIVAN. Second impression.

"So packed with ideas that it is not possible to give any adequate recurse of its contents."—I were I iterary Supplement. "His remarkable monograph, bis devatatting summary of materialism, this pocket Novum Organium." —Specialor.

Apollonius, or the Future of Psychical Research. By E. N. BENNETT.

"A sace, temperate and suggestive survey of a field of inquiry which is slowly but surely pishing to the front,"—
Times Literary Supplement.

Acolus, or the Future of the Flying Machine. By OLIVER STEWART

"Both his wit and his expertness have him from the noncentral fantastic,"—Daily Ares. "He is to be congratulated. His book is small, but delightfully funny, and there really are sensible ideas behind the jesting,"— Actionian.

Stentor, or the Press of To-day and To-morrow. By David Ockham.

"A vajuable and exceedingly interesting commentary on a vital phase of modern development"—Daily Iterals. "Vigorous and well written, emmently readable,"— Yorashus Post. Rusticus, or the Future of the Countryside. By MARTIN S. BRIGGS, F.R.I.B.A.

"Few of the fifty volumes, provocative and brilliant as most of them have been, capture our imagination as does this one "Deby Targraph". Serves a national rod. The book is a pamphlet, though it has the form and charm of a book, "Departator."

Janus, or the Conquest of War. By WILLIAM McDougall, M B., F R S.

"Among all the booklets of the brilliant series, pone, I think, is so weighty and impressive as this. It contains three as much matter as the other volumes, and is profoundly serious."—Dean Ing., in Evening Standard.

Vulcan, or the Future of Labour. By CECIL CHISHOLM.

"Of absorbing interest."—Daily Herald. "No one, perhaps has ever held the balance so nicely between technical-lines and display of lancy, as the author of this excellent book in a brilliant series."—Speciator.

Hymen, or the Future of Marriage. By NORMAN HAIRE. Third impression.

"Has something serious to say, something that may be of value Dr. Haire is fortunately, as lucid as he is told,"
—Salurday Recess "An electrifying addition to the series"—Sphere.

The Next Chapter: the War against the Moon. By Andre Maurois

'This delicate and delabiful phaotasy presented with consummate art "Speciator, Short but with-righty areasite "Full" Admirably parodies the melancholy and superior tone of a hutory-book, ""—I west I sterary Superior tone of a hutory-book, ""—I was I sterary Superior tone of a hutory-book, ""—I was I sterary Superior tone of a hutory-book, ""—I was I sterary Superior tone of the super

Archon, or the Future of Government. By HAMILTON FYFE.

"This is a brave and sincere book "--Economic Renew.
'A brochure that thinking people will discuss "--Speciator,
"A timely exposure of the hypocrisy of politics,"--Sunday Times.

Scheherazade, or the Future of the English Novel. By JOHN CARRUTHERS.

"A brillion scay and 1 think a ture on. It describe the attention of all in any way interested critically in the novel."—Dauty Hersila.

Caledonia, or the Future of the Scots. By G. M. THOMSON, Second impression.

"It is releatless and terrible in its exposure of the realities that underlie the myth of the 'canny Scot."—
Itsis Sixtemsas "As a piece of incieve writing and powerful, though restrained, invective, Calcionsa is specially notable."—Sectator.

Albyn, or Scotland and the Future. By C. M. GRIEVE.

"A vigorous answer, explicit and implicit, to Caledonia, tracing behind the scenes the development of a real Scottish renascence. Contains stuff for thought,"—Sprinter.

Iconoclastes, or the Future of Shakespeare. By HUBERT GRIFFITH.

"To my disappointment I found myself in complete agreement with nearly all its author's arguments. There is much that is vital and accepting to what he has to any," "Nigel Playfart, in Evening Standard,

Bacchus, or the Future of Wine. Ey P. MORTON SHAND.

"Very sound sense."—Times Literary Supplement,
"A learned and amusingly written book on wme."—Daty
Express. "An entraoring little volume."—Brevet and
Wine Merchant,

Hermes, or the Future of Chemistry. By T. W. Jones, B.Sc., F.C.S.

"Tells us briefly, yet with brilliant clarity, what Chemfitry is doing to-day, and what its achievements are likely to be in the future."—Morning Post.

Archimedes, or the Future of Physics, By L. L. WHYTE,

"If the nation [of asymmetrical time] can be successfully applied to physics itself, the nunversal science will be born. That some great synthesis is on the way seems clear. One of the most suggestive secounts of it may be found to this factorating volume."—"I'me Listerary Supplement.

## Atalanta, or the Future of Sport By G S SANDILANDS

"His provocative and most interesting book "—Daily Herald —Fo nts out some of the panacles of unreason climbed by those trying to separate amateur from professional "—Marketter Luszdue."

### Lares et Penates, or the Home of the I uture By H J BIRNSTINGL

"Indicating vividly what may lie ahead if we allow our worship of the American kiral of industrial output for its own sake to proceed und rected." Country Life. "Draws an appaling picture.—Learn of Standard.

#### Breaking Priscian's Head, or English as She will be Spoke and Wrote By I Y T GREIG, DLITT

"His vivacious book "—Daily Mad The most vehement attack jon standard hughnh we have ever read by a ser equally amazed and amused "—Morning Pad "A rollicking book."—Speciator

### Cain, or the Tuture of Crime By GEORGE GODWIN

Compels the reader to think whether he will or no "— Saturday Review "A most interest og prophecy Mr Godw'n makes out a strong case aga ust the stupid ty and cruelty of our present dealings with crime —Evening Standard

### Morphous, or the Future of Sleep By DAVID FRASER HARRIS M D , D SC

"Shews that the doctors do not as yet know much about the sub-yet "—ween H s arg ments, clearly and ably presented hold our interest This is a book full of sound thinking and wise instruction "—Clarion

### Hibernia, or the Future of Ireland By BOLTON C WALLER

"An earnest and challeng og piece of work "--Irità
Timo: A seno a practical book, full of knowledge,"
--Snetator Notable in a notable senes --Foreign
Affairs

# Hanno, or the Future of Exploration, By J. LESLIE MITCHELL.

"His wonderful little book, in which he confutes the popular notion that the explorer's task is faully infalled."

"Morning Fost "Stimulating, packed with emisently practical suggestions."—I man Literary Supplement.

### Metanthropos, or the Body of the Future. By R. CAMPBELL MACFIE, LLD.

"An exceptionally stimulating book, the work of a clear and maginative thinker who can express his thoughts."

—Salurday Arrece. "Should certainly be read by a large public."—Lenets.

### Heraclitus, or the Future of the Films. By ERNEST BETTS.

"An entertaining book, full of sparkling and original ideas, which should stimulate Wardour Street to a more serious consideration of the artistic and moral aspects of the film industry,"—Speciator.

# Eos, or the Wider Aspects of Cosmogony. By Sir J. H. Jeans, Ll.D., F.R.S. With 6 plates. Sixth impression.

"A fascinating summary of his tremendous conclusions, illustrated by some really beautiful photographs,"—I must literary Supplement. "No book in the series surplasses surplasses are supplement to the series surplasses are supplement to the series surplasses are supplementable to the series surplasses are surplasses are

### Diogenes, or the Future of Leisure. By C. E. M. Joan. Second impression.

"A brilliant and provocative volume."—Dean Inge, in Evening Standard, "The writing is vivid and good humaniredly truculent."—I suce Literary Supplement.

### Fortuna, or Chance and Design. By Norwood Young,

"Cheerful and ingenious, His study of the 'laws of chance', as illustrated in the game of roulette, his examination of hose-racing and the Stock Exchange, are not meant for those who such to acquire sudden fortunes," T.P.'s Weekly.

Autolycus, or the Future for Miscreant Youth By R G GORDON, M D , D SC

"His clear and spritted presentation of the problem of the boy and girl offender should rekindle interest in the subject and help towards legs atom. Many of us need to get rid of preconceived notions and his adm rable book abould help us "—Timst Edwardsond Supplement.

Eutychus, or the l'uture of the Pulpit

"Few w titer or wiser books have appeared in this stimulating series than Eutychus"—Spatistor "Witty style shrewd insight, delicous inn "—Guardian

Alma Mater, or the Future of Oxford and Cambridge By Julian Hall

"Conspicuously fair"—Manchester Guardian "Writes about his elders about youth and about the two old Universities with frankness humour and intelligence"— Action

Typhoeus, or the Future of Socialism By ARTHUR SHADWELL

"Invatuable a miracle of compression and illumination "

— York here Post " fie has almost unequalled know ledge and is largely free from bias — Paulo Snowden in Daily Herals

Romulus, or the Future of the Child By ROBERT T LEWIS

"This interesting and stimulating book should be read not only by parents but by all who care anything at all about the future of the race "-Daily Caronale

Kalki, or the Future of Civilization By

"A most delightful and instructive volume."—Journal of fhilosophical Studies "A scintillating thought provoking book carrying us rapidly along in sparking and forceful paragraphs"—hew hea.

Shiva, or the Future of India. By R J MINNEY, Second impression

"A fir stronger imp achieved than even Mass Mayo attempted in "fether India" "Daily De pal. 2, "Does not minos matters in the least "-\_ady Espens

Vicisti, Galilæe P or Religion in England. By EDWARD B. POWLEY.

"One of the best in the senes, a book to be read, thought over, and discussed by all Christians who are not afraid to take the shutters down,"—Guardian

Columbia, or the Future of Canada. By George Godwin, author of 'Cam'.

"Describes grave study," -- Evening Standard, "Indicates aptly that the future of Canada lies with the U.S.A. Paints a vivid and convucing picture of the disadvantages of geographical divorce," -- I sing and Tule.

Achates, or the Future of Canada in the Empire. By W. ERIC HARRIS,

An answer to Columbia, maintaining the view that Canada will maintain herself as before in the British Empire,

Eurydice, or the Nature of Opera By Dyneley Hussey, author of "Mozart."

"He is to be congramlated,"—Saturday Rruwe. "Shows immense skill in accompanying his thesis by a rapid purvey of operatio history from which little essential will be missed."—Liveryman.

Pons Asinorum, or the Future of Nonsense. By George Edinger and E. J. C. NEEP.

"A most entertaining essay, rich in quotation from the old masters of clownship's craft,"—Saturday Raute,

Halcyon, or the Future of Monogamy.

By Vera Brittain.

The World, the Flesh, and the Devil. By J. D. BERNAL.

"Astounding things are discussed in a fascinating manner"—Daily Herald "A british thook "—Specthor" The sweep of his imagination succeeds in overconding the reader's tendency to disbetted Absorbingly Interest ing "—I times Lutierty Supplement.

Democritus, or the Future of Laughter.
By GERALD GOULD.

"With nearly too volumes to its credit the series frisks on as briskly as in its first youth Democritise is bound to be among the favourties. His humour glances at history, morally, humanty, and the great humorats past and present Was and with writing "-Observer."

Sisyphus, or the Limits of Psychology. By M. JAEGER.

"Altogether a very sensible and entertaining book"— Times I sersey Supplement "Much seumen and know bedge All students of psychology should read it."— Manchaster Guardas.

Isis, or the Future of Oxford, By W J. K. DIPLOCK,

"A very pleasant essay "-Times Literary Supplement
'A reactionary hit back." Daily Mail 'A cleverly
written delence of Oxford intellectual life "-Spectator

Deucalion, or the Future of Criticism 'By Geoffrey West

An altractive essay "—Times Literary Supplement "If ghly commended to those who wish to get a clear view of the present state of critical writing "—Specialor "An entertaining book. —New Stateman."

Cato, or the Future of Censorship By WILLIAM SEAGLE

"This brilliant and write book."—Times Literary Supplement 'Packed with the most useful information and with the most interesting deductions and analysis."— Time and Take

Savo Grammaticus, or First Aid for the Best Seller. By Ernest Weekley

'A very shocking collection of vile phrases from con temporary writers. The beganer will do well to turn be this book for counsel and warning. A vivacious and excel lent pamphlet in a good cause.—Robert Lynd in Daily Vers

Chronos, or the Future of the Family. By EDEN PAUL, M.D.

"Deserves to be read by a large number of people It is a proof of the revolution in the family and sexual relations," which is taking place before our eves "-- value"